

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/594,225	Applicant(s) BERGLUND ET AL.	
	Examiner SCARLETT GOON	Art Unit 1623	

All Participants:

(1) SCARLETT GOON.

(2) Mr. Daniel Morath.

Date of Interview: 31 August 2009

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 3:57 pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Daniel Morath, Applicants' attorney, was contacted on 7 August 2009 to discuss potential allowable subject matter. The Examiner indicated that the elected species was allowable and the application could be placed in condition for allowance if Applicants deleted the compounds wherein R1 is ethyl to overcome a potential 103 rejection, and compounds wherein R1 contains hydrophilic moieties due to enablement issues. The Examiner further indicated that claim 19 and those dependent therefrom posed new matter because compounds wherein R1 is heptyl or octyl were not disclosed in the Specification as originally filed. On 31 August 2009, Mr. Morath indicated that Applicants were not amenable to the amendments.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/SCARLETT GOON/
Examiner, Art Unit 1623

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

